Clinical Validation of Plasma Cell-Free DNA (cfDNA) Sequencing in a Phase 2 Cohort of the KRYSTAL-1 Study of Adagrasib in Patients with KRAS^{G12C}-Mutated NSCLC

Konstantinos Leventakos,¹ Pasi A. Jänne,² Melissa L. Johnson,³ Alexander I. Spira,^{4–6} Kyriakos P. Papadopoulos,⁷ Nataliya V. Uboha,⁸ Muhammad Furqan,⁹ Ira Pekker,¹⁰ Hirak Der-Torossian,¹¹ Karen Velastegui,¹¹ Kenna Anderes,¹¹ James G. Christensen,¹¹ Thian Kheoh,¹¹ Nathan Pennell¹²

¹Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA; ²Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA; ³Sarah Cannon Research Institute Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; ⁴Virginia Cancer Specialists, Fairfax, VA, USA; ⁵US Oncology Research, The Woodlands, TX, USA; ⁶NEXT Oncology, Fairfax, VA, USA; ⁷START San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, USA; ⁸University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA; ⁹University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA; ¹⁰Resolution Bioscience/Agilent, Kirkland, WA, USA; ¹¹Mirati Therapeutics, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA; ¹²Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, USA

Presented by: Dr. Kenna Anderes





20 – 22 October 2022 Miami, Florida

DISCLOSURES

Kenna Anderes

Financial Interest	Relationship(s)
Stock ownership	Mirati Therapeutics, Inc.
Other substantive relationships	Mirati Therapeutics, Inc. (employee)



20 – 22 October 2022 Miami, Florida

Adagrasib (MRTX849) is a Differentiated KRAS^{G12C} Inhibitor

- KRAS mutations occur in approximately 25% of NSCLC,¹ with KRAS^{G12C} mutations occurring in approximately 14% of adenocarcinomas²
- Adagrasib is a KRAS^{G12C} inhibitor selected for specific properties, including a long half-life (23 hours), dose-dependent pharmacokinetics, and CNS penetration^{3,4}
- Clinical activity with adagrasib has been shown in patients across multiple KRAS^{G12C}-mutated solid tumors, including patients with NSCLC and treated/untreated CNS metastases^{4–8}
- Agilent Resolution ctDx FIRST is a liquid biopsy genomic tumor profiling assay, using cfDNA, which is being developed as a companion diagnostic for adagrasib



KRYSTAL-1 (849-001) Phase 2 Cohorts A and B Study Design



- Adagrasib has demonstrated a manageable safety profile and clinical activity in patients with KRAS^{G12C}-mutated NSCLC (cohort A, n=116)⁴
- In a bridging design verification study, the clinical validity of the Agilent Resolution ctDx FIRST assay was evaluated in a retrospective sensitivity analysis
 of patients with paired plasma/tissue samples enrolled in cohort A^d or commercially procured NSCLC samples
- Additionally, we report the first analysis from the prospective cohort B enrolling patients with KRAS^{G12C}-mutated NSCLC detected by liquid biopsy (n=60)

^aRegistrational cohort; ^bKRAS^{G12C} mutation detected by sponsor-approved tests; ^cExploratory cohort including patients who did not have tissue to test or a positive KRAS^{G12C} tissue test; ^dPlasma samples were collected at baseline and on-study for correlative analyses

ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT03785249

Patient Disposition for Sensitivity Analysis in Cohort A and Commercially Procured NSCLC Samples



^aA total of 116 patients were enrolled in cohort A; full analysis set per BICR excludes 4 patients who did not have measurable disease at baseline; ^bPatients unevaluable due to no sample available (n=20) or insufficient cfDNA (n=19); ^cOf the 157 commercial NSCLC samples, 14 samples had no valid tissue test results; ^dSamples were extracted but either did not meet the required cfDNA assay input of 15 ng or failed QC steps

Positive and Negative Percent Agreements in Sensitivity Analysis from Cohort A and Commercially Procured NSCLC Samples

		Cohort A (n=112)			Commercially procured NSCLC samples (n=143)		
		Agilent Resolution ctDx FIRST			Agilent Resolution ctDx FIRST		
		Positive	Negative	NE	Positive	Negative	NE
Tissue result	Positive	54	19 ^a	39	3 ^b	6 ^b	2
	Negative	NA	NA	NA	0	125	7

- Overall, 198 matched plasma/tissue samples were included in the sensitivity analysis
- The positive percent agreement was 54/73 (74%) in cohort A samples
- The negative percent agreement was **125/125** (100%) in commercially procured samples

^aAgilent Resolution ctDx FIRST results confirmed by orthogonal method (ddPCR assay using cfDNA) in 16/18 samples; 1 sample had insufficient cfDNA input mass for orthogonal testing; ^bAgilent Resolution ctDx FIRST results confirmed by orthogonal method (ddPCR assay using cfDNA)

Demographics and Baseline Characteristics in Cohorts A and B

Characteristic	Cohort A (n=112)ª	Cohort A with valid Agilent Resolution ctDx FIRST assay results (n=73)	Cohort B (n=60)
Median age, years (range)	64 (25–89)	64 (25–89)	64 (39–82)
Female sex, n (%)	62 (55)	40 (55)	38 (63)
Race, n (%) White Black or African American Asian / Other	93 (83) 9 (8) 5 (5) / 5 (5)	59 (81) 6 (8) 4 (6) / 4 (6)	55 (92) 2 (3) 2 (3) / 1 (2)
ECOG PS, n (%) 0 / 1	18 (16) / 93 (83) ^b	15 (21) / 58 (80)	12 (20) / 48 (80)
Histology, n (%) Non-squamous / Squamous	109 (97) / 3 (3)	71 (97) / 2 (3)	56 (97) / 2 (3) ^c
Disease type, n (%) Locally advanced / Metastatic	12 (11) / 100 (89)	11 (15) / 62 (85)	3 (5) / 55 (95) ^c
Smoking history, n (%) Never smoker / Current smoker / Former smoker	5 (5) / 11 (10) / 96 (86)	3 (4) / 4 (6) / 66 (90)	3 (5) / 11 (18) / 46 (77)
Tissue test for enrollment, n (%) Foundation One / IMPACT / Other	25 (22) / 14 (13) / 73 (65)	19 (26) / 11 (15) / 43 (59)	NA
Liquid biopsy test for enrollment, n (%) Foundation Medicine / Guardant Health / Other Resolution Bioscience	NA NA	NA NA	6 (10) / 28 (48) / 4 (7) 20 (35) ^c

^aFull analysis set per BICR excludes 4 patients who did not have measurable disease at baseline; ^bMissing, n=1; ^cResults reported for BICR-evaluable patients only (n=58)

Adagrasib in Previously Treated Patients with KRAS^{G12C}-mutated NSCLC in Cohorts A and B: Tumor Response by BICR

Efficacy outcome	Cohort A (n=112)	Cohort A with valid Agilent Resolution ctDx FIRST assay results (n=73)	Cohort B (n=58)ª
Objective response rate			
n (%)	48 (43)	32 (44)	23 (40)
95% CI	34–53	32–56	27–53
Best overall response, n (%)			
Complete response	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (2)
Partial response	47 (42)	31 (43)	22 (38)
Stable disease	41 (37)	26 (36)	25 (43)
Progressive disease	6 (5)	4 (6)	4 (7)
Not evaluable	17 (15)	11 (15)	6 (10)
Disease control rate, n (%)	89 (80)	58 (79)	48 (83)

- For patients in cohort A who were KRAS^{G12C}-positive by tissue test and by the Agilent Resolution ctDx FIRST assay, ORR was 46% (25/54)
- Safety results observed in cohort B were consistent with cohort A,⁴ with TRAEs of any grade occurring in 97% of patients
 - 50% grade 1/2; 40% grade 3; 5% grade 4^b; most frequent TRAEs were diarrhea, nausea, and vomiting
 - There was one grade 5 TRAE (acute respiratory failure)^c

^aFull analysis set per BICR excludes 2 patients who did not have measurable disease at baseline; ^bGrade 4 TRAEs included 1 case each of hypotension, hypoxia, pericardial effusion, sepsis, ventricular fibrillation, and ventricular tachycardia; ^cPatient had a history of pulmonary disease

Cohort A: Data as of October 15, 2021 (median follow-up: 12.9 months); Cohort B: Data as of December 31, 2021 (median follow-up: 12.3 months)

Conclusions

- This retrospective analysis of the registrational Phase 2 cohort A from KRYSTAL-1 demonstrated high concordance between tissue and the Agilent Resolution ctDx FIRST liquid biopsy assay (PPA, 74%)
- ORR was similar in KRAS^{G12C}-positive patients identified by plasma or tissue testing in the registrational cohort A (46% vs 43%, respectively)
- In the first prospective analysis of a KRAS^{G12C} inhibitor in patients enrolled exclusively by liquid biopsy, adagrasib demonstrated encouraging clinical efficacy (ORR, 40%; DCR, 83%)
- These results suggest that liquid biopsy can accurately identify patients with NSCLC harboring a KRAS^{G12C} mutation who may respond to adagrasib



Acknowledgments

- The patients and their families for making this trial possible
- The clinical study teams and investigators for their work and contributions
- This study was supported by Mirati Therapeutics, Inc. and was conducted in collaboration with Resolution Bioscience, an Agilent company
- All authors contributed to and approved this presentation; third party medical writing and editorial assistance were provided by Flaminia Fenoaltea, MSc, of Ashfield MedComms, an Inizio company, funded by Mirati Therapeutics, Inc.

Investigators

John Adams USOR, Texas Oncology, Arlington

Miriam Alexander Medical University of South California

Scott Anderson Goldschmidt Cancer Center

Minal Barve Mary Crowley Cancer Research

Bruno Bastos Miami Cancer Institute

Lyudmila Bazhenova University of California San Diego

David Berz Beverly Hills Cancer Center

James Butrynski Williamette Valley Cancer Institute and Research Center, Eugene

Mike Cusnir Mount Sinai Comprehensive Cancer Center

Keith Eaton Seattle Cancer Care Alliance **David Ellison** USOR, Charleston Hematology Oncology Associates

Muhammad Furqan University of Iowa

Shirish M. Gadgeel Henry Ford Cancer Institute/ Henry Ford Health System

Yousuf Gaffar Maryland Oncology Hematology, Columbia

Navid Hafez Yale Cancer Center

David Hakimian USOR, Illinois Cancer Specialists

Rebecca S. Heist Massachusetts General Hospital

Pasi A. Jänne Dana-Farber Cancer Institute

Melissa L. Johnson Sarah Cannon Research Institute

Scott Kruger USOR, Virginia Oncology Associates

Robert Langdon, Jr. Methodist Eastbrook Cancer Center Konstantinos Leventakos Mayo Clinic, Rochester

Yanyan Lou Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville

Hirva Mamdani Karmanos Cancer Institute

Kristi McIntyre USOR, Texas Oncology, Presbyterian Cancer Center Dallas

Jamal Misleh USOR, Medical Oncology Hematology Consultants

Marcelo V. Negrao MD Anderson Cancer Center

Sai-Hong Ignatius Ou University of California Irvine

Kyriakos P. Papadopoulos START San Antonio

Nathan A. Pennell Cleveland Clinic

Gregory J. Riely Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center

Richard Rosenberg USOR, Arizona Oncology Associates Peter Rubin Maine Health Cancer Care, Biddeford

Robert Ruxer Texas Oncology, Fort Worth

Joshua Sabari Perlmutter Cancer Center

Erin Schenk University of Colorado

Alexander I. Spira Virginia Cancer Specialists

Nataliya V. Uboha University of Wisconsin Carbone Cancer Center

Anthony Van Ho USOR, Compass Oncology

Jared Weiss University of North Carolina

Edwin Yau Roswell Park Comprehensive Center

Jun Zhang University of Kansas

References

- 1. Pakkala S, et al. JCI Insight 2018;3:e120858
- 2. Nassar AH, et al. N Engl J Med 2021;384:185-7
- 3. Hallin J, et al. Cancer Discov 2020;10:54-71
- 4. Jänne PA, et al. N Engl J Med 2022;387:120-31
- 5. Sabari JK, et al. Presented at: 2022 ASCO; June 6, 2022
- 6. Weiss J, et al. Presented at: 2021 ESMO; September 19, 2021
- 7. Johnson ML, et al. Presented at: 2020 EORTC-NCI-AACR; October 25, 2020
- 8. Bekaii-Saab TS, et al. Presented at: 2022 ASCO GI; January 21, 2022

Abbreviations

BICR, blinded independent central review BID, twice daily CI, confidence interval CNS, central nervous system cfDNA, cell-free DNA DCR, disease control rate ddPCR, droplet digital polymerase chain reaction DOR, duration of response ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase GDP, guanosine diphosphate GTP, guanosine triphosphate KRAS, Kirsten rat sarcoma virus MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase MEK, mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase NA, not applicable NE, not evaluable NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer ORR, objective response rate OS, overall survival PD-1/L1, programmed death-1/ligand 1 PFS, progression-free survival PPA, positive percent agreement QC, quality control RAF, rapidly accelerated fibrosarcoma kinase RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors RTK, receptor tyrosine kinase SHP2, Src homology phosphatase 2 TRAE, treatment-related adverse event