

KRYSTAL-1: Adagrasib (MRTX849) as Monotherapy or in Combination With Cetuximab in Patients With Colorectal Cancer Harboring a KRAS^{G12C} Mutation

Jared Weiss¹, Rona Yaeger², Melissa L. Johnson³, Alexander I. Spira⁴, Samuel J. Klempner⁵, Minal Barve⁶, James G. Christensen⁷, Andrew S. Chi⁷, Hirak Der-Torossian⁷, Karen Velastegui⁷, Thian Kheoh⁷, Sai-Hong Ignatius Ou⁸

¹University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill, Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA; ²Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA; ³Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, Tennessee, USA; ⁴Virginia Cancer Specialists, US Oncology Research, Fairfax, Virginia, USA; ⁵Massachusetts General Hospital, Cancer Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ⁶Mary Crowley Cancer Research, Dallas, Texas, USA; ⁷Mirati Therapeutics, Inc., San Diego, California, USA; ⁸University of California Irvine, Chao Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, Orange, California, USA.







Disclosures

Jared Weiss

- Stock and Other Ownership Interests:
 - Nektar, Vesselon, Iovance, nuvalent, Lyell Immunopharma
- Consulting or Advisory Role:
 - AstraZeneca, EMD Serono, Genentech, G1 Therapeutics, Jounce Therapeutics, AbbVie, Nanobiotix, Azitra, Eli Lilly, Blueprint Medicines, Pfizer, Saatchi Wellness, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Boehringer Ingelheim, Regeneron, Genmab, SDP Oncology, BeiGene
- Research Funding:
 - Mirati Therapeutics, Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma Oncology, Boehringer Ingelheim, PDS Biotechnology

Adagrasib (MRTX849) Is a Differentiated, Selective Inhibitor of KRAS^{G12C}

- KRAS^{G12C} mutations occur in approximately 3%-4% of CRC, act as oncogenic drivers, and are a negative predictor of cetuximab efficacy¹⁻⁴
- The KRAS protein cycles between GTP-on and GDP-off states and has a protein resynthesis half-life of ~24 hours^{5,6}
- Adagrasib, a covalent inhibitor of KRAS^{G12C}, irreversibly and selectively binds KRAS^{G12C} in its inactive, GDP-bound state and was optimized for desired properties, including⁷:
 - Long half-life of ~24 hours, dose-dependent PK, and brain penetration
- Maintaining continuous adagrasib exposure above a target threshold enables inhibition of KRAS-dependent signaling for the complete dosing interval and maximizes antitumor activity
- Combining adagrasib with cetuximab, an EGFR inhibitor, may enhance inhibition of KRAS-dependent signaling or overcome adaptive feedback to improve outcomes⁸



EGFR signaling is implicated in feedback reactivation, providing a rational co-targeting strategy for KRAS-mutant CRC

KRYSTAL-1 (849-001) Study Design



- Previously reported data demonstrated the clinical activity of adagrasib in patients with pretreated CRC with a KRAS^{G12C} mutation⁹
- Here we report preliminary data for adagrasib 600 mg BID as monotherapy (n=2 in Phase 1/1b and n=44 in Phase 2; median follow-up: 8.9 months) and in combination with cetuximab (n=32; median follow-up: 7 months) in patients with pretreated CRC with a KRAS^{G12C} mutation
- Data as of 25 May 2021 (monotherapy), 9 July 2021 (cetuximab combination)

^aTissue test and/or ctDNA allowed for Phase 1/1b eligibility. ^bPatients subsequently dose escalated up to 600 mg BID. ^cPatients must have declined 1L systemic therapy. ^dSubjects receiving prior treatment with a KRAS^{G12C} inhibitor not eligible. ^eSubjects receiving prior treatment with a KRAS^{G12C} inhibitor eligible for the Phase 1b adagrasib + cetuximab cohort. ^fPatients who received cetuximab who experienced clinical benefit had the option to continue on adagrasib alone. ^gCetuximab was administered IV at a dose of 400 mg/m² followed by 250 mg/m² QW, or 500 mg/m² Q2W (Phase 1b). ^hTrial is registrational. ⁱKRAS^{G12C} mutation detected in tumor tissue and/or blood. ⁱPatients who have stable disease compared to baseline measurements at week 13 or later during treatment with single agent adagrasib are eligible to cross over to adagrasib + cetuximab combination cohort. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT03785249.

Presented at the European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) Congress, 18 September 2021

Demographics and Baseline Characteristics

	Adagrasib Monotherapy ^a (n=46)	Adagrasib + Cetuximab ^b (n=32)
Median age, y (range)	58 (29-79)	60 (41-74)
Female, n (%)	23 (50%)	17 (53%)
Race, n (%)		
White	35 (76%)	26 (81%)
Black	6 (13%)	4 (13%)
Asian	3 (7%)	2 (6%)
Other	2 (4%)	0 (0%)
ECOG PS, n (%)		
0	23 (50%)	14 (44%)
1	23 (50%)	18 (56%)
Prior lines of systemic anticancer therapy, median (range)	3 (1-10)	3 (1-8)
Prior lines of systemic anticancer therapy, %		
1/2/3/≥4	20%/26%/20%/35%	9%/25%/34%/31%
Prior systemic anticancer therapy, %		
Fluoropyrimidine/oxaliplatin/irinotecan	100%/98%/80%	100%/100%/88%
Anti-VEGF	83%	84%
Anti-EGFR biological therapy	2%	0%
Regorafenib and/or trifluridine/tipiracil	22%	19%
Molecular status, n (%) ^c		
BRAF V600E	0/44 (0%)	0/30 (0%)
MSI-H or dMMR	1/35 (3%)	0/19 (0%)
EGFR amplification	1/35 (3%)	1/28 (4%)
TP53	23/34 (68%)	18/26 (69%)
PIK3CA	5/36 (14%)	3/26 (12%)

^aAdagrasib monotherapy was administered at a dose of 600 mg BID. ^bAdagrasib was administered at a dose of 600 mg BID. Cetuximab was administered IV at a dose of 400 mg/m² followed by 250 mg/m² QW, or 500 mg/m² Q2W (Phase 1b). ^cMolecular status includes patients with conclusively evaluable test results.

Data as of 25 May 2021 for monotherapy (median follow-up: 8.9 months). Data as of 9 July 2021 for the cetuximab combination (median follow-up: 7 months).

Adagrasib in Patients With Advanced CRC: Best Overall Response



- Response rate was 22% (10/45), including 1 unconfirmed PR
- SD was observed in 64% (29/45) of patients
- Clinical benefit (DCR) was observed in 87% (39/45) of patients
- No apparent association between response rate and molecular status was shown in an exploratory analysis^e

^aAll results are based on investigator assessments. ^bEvaluable population (n=45) excludes 1 patient who withdrew consent prior to the first scan. ^cPhase 1/1b. ^dAt the time of the 25 May 2021 data cutoff, the patient had uPR. ^eMolecular status (BRAF V600E mutation, MSI-H or dMMR, EGFR amplification, TP53 mutation, PIK3CA mutation) includes patients with conclusively evaluable test results.

Data as of 25 May 2021 for monotherapy (median follow-up: 8.9 months).

Adagrasib in Patients With Advanced CRC: Duration of Treatment



^aAll results are based on investigator assessments. ^bAt the time of the 25 May 2021 data cutoff, the patient had uPR. ^cPatients who crossed over to receive adagrasib + cetuximab. ^dPhase 1/1b. ^eMedian duration of response is based on 9 confirmed responses.

Data as of 25 May 2021 for monotherapy (median follow-up: 8.9 months).

Evaluable Patients

7

Presented at the European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) Congress, XX September 2021

Adagrasib in Patients With Advanced CRC: Progression-Free Survival



Data as of 25 May 2021 for monotherapy (median follow-up: 8.9 months).

Adagrasib in Patients With Advanced CRC: Treatment-Related Adverse Events

Most Frequent TRAEs	Adagrasib Monotherapy ^a (n=46)	
TRAEs, ^{b,c} %	Any Grade	Grades 3-4
Any TRAEs	91%	30%
Most frequent TRAEs, %		
Diarrhea	63%	7%
Nausea	57%	0%
Fatigue	46%	4%
Vomiting	46%	0%
Decreased appetite	15%	0%
Peripheral edema	15%	0%
AST increase	13%	4%
QT prolongation	13%	2%
ALT increase	11%	4%
Anemia	11%	2%

• No Grade 5 TRAEs

9

• No TRAEs that led to discontinuation

^aAdagrasib monotherapy was administered at a dose of 600 mg BID.^bIncludes events reported between the first dose and 25 May 2021. ^cOccurred in ≥10% of patients.

Data as of 25 May 2021 for monotherapy (median follow-up: 8.9 months).

Adagrasib + Cetuximab in Patients With Advanced CRC: Best Overall Response



Best Tumor Change From Baseline (n=28)^{a,b}

- Response rate was 43% (12/28), including 2 unconfirmed PRs
- SD was observed in 57% (16/28) of patients
- Clinical benefit (DCR) was observed in 100% (28/28) of patients
- No apparent association between response rate and molecular status was shown in an exploratory analysis^e

^aAll results are based on investigator assessments. ^b Evaluable population (n=28) excludes 4 patients who withdrew consent prior to the first scan. ^cAt the time of the 9 July 2021 data cutoff, 2 patients had uPRs. ^eMolecular status (BRAF V600E mutation, MSI-H or dMMR, EGFR amplification, TP53 mutation, PIK3CA mutation) includes patients with conclusively evaluable test results.

10 Data as of 9 July 2021 (median follow-up: 7 months).

Adagrasib + Cetuximab in Patients With Advanced CRC: Duration of Treatment



Time, Months

^aAll results are based on investigator assessments. ^bAt the time of the 9 July 2021 data cutoff, 2 patients had uPRs. Data as of 9 July 2021 (median follow-up: 7 months).

Adagrasib + Cetuximab in Patients With Advanced CRC: Treatment-Related Adverse Events

Most Frequent TRAEs	Adagrasib + Cetuximab ^c (n=32)	
TRAEs, ^{a,b} %	Any Grade	Grades 3-4
Any TRAEs	100%	16%
Most frequent TRAEs, %		
Nausea	63%	0%
Diarrhea	56%	3%
Vomiting	50%	0%
Fatigue	47%	0%
Dermatitis acneiform	44%	3%
Dry skin	38%	0%
Headache	28%	0%
Rash maculopapular	22%	0%
Dyspepsia	19%	0%
Infusion-related reaction	19%	3%
Peripheral edema	19%	0%
Rash	19%	0%
Stomatitis	19%	3%
Decreased appetite	16%	0%
Dizziness	16%	0%
QT prolongation	16%	3%
ALT increase	13%	0%
Dyspnea	13%	0%
Hypomagnesemia	13%	0%

• No Grade 5 TRAEs

12

• 6% (n=2) of TRAEs led to discontinuation of treatment^d

alncludes events reported between the first dose and 9 July 2021. ^bOccurred in ≥10% of patients. ^cAdagrasib was administered at a dose of 600 mg BID + cetuximab was administered IV at a dose of 400 mg/m² followed by 250 mg/m² QW, or 500 mg/m² Q2W. ^dTRAEs leading to discontinuation were grade 2 treatment-related malaise and grade 2 cetuximab-related infusion-related reaction.

Data as of 9 July 2021 (median follow-up: 7 months).

Summary

- Adagrasib is a KRAS^{G12C}-selective covalent inhibitor with a long half-life that enables exposure above a target threshold throughout the dosing interval
- Adagrasib monotherapy demonstrated promising clinical activity (response rate 22%) and broad disease control (DCR 87%) in heavily pretreated patients with CRC harboring a KRAS^{G12C} mutation
- Adagrasib + cetuximab showed encouraging clinical activity (response rate 43%; DCR 100%) in heavily pretreated patients with CRC harboring a KRAS^{G12C} mutation
- Adagrasib is tolerable and has a manageable safety profile, both as monotherapy and combined with cetuximab
- Adagrasib + cetuximab is being evaluated in the 2L setting in KRYSTAL-10, a Phase 3 trial (NCT04793958) in patients with KRAS^{G12C}-mutant mCRC



KRYSTAL-10 (849-010): Phase 3 Randomized, Open-Label Trial of 2L Adagrasib + Cetuximab vs Chemotherapy in mCRC With KRAS^{G12C} Mutation

Key Eligibility Criteria Adagrasib 600 mg BID + cetuximab^a (n=210) Histologically confirmed diagnosis of advanced or R metastatic CRC 1:1 Confirmed KRAS^{G12C} mutation in tumor tissue FOLFIRI^b or mFOLFOX6 Progression on 1L (n=210) fluoropyrimidine-based regimen containing oxaliplatin Anti-VEGF/VEGFR allowed per investigator or irinotecan discretion in comparator arm

Outcome Measures

•

•

Primary: PFS, OS Secondary: Safety, ORR (RECIST 1.1), 1-year OS, DOR, PK, PROs

^aDosing: cetuximab, 500 mg/m² Q2W. ^bFOLFIRI Q2W (irinotecan, 180 mg/m², 5-FU/LV with fluorouracil given as a 400-mg/m² IV bolus followed by a 2400-mg/m² dose given as a continuous infusion over 46-48 hours). ^cmFOLFOX6 Q2W (oxaliplatin, 85 mg/m², 5-FU/LV, with fluorouracil given as a 400-mg/m² IV bolus followed by a 2400-mg/m² dose given as continuous infusion over 46-48 hours). ClinicalTrials.gov NCT04793958.

Acknowledgments

- The patients and their families who make this trial possible
- The clinical study teams and investigators for their work and contributions
- This study is supported by Mirati Therapeutics, Inc.
- All authors contributed to and approved this presentation; writing and editorial assistance were provided by Andrew Hong of Axiom Healthcare Strategies, funded by Mirati Therapeutics, Inc.

Investigators

Daniel Anderson Metro-Minnesota Community Oncology Research Consortium

Minal Barve Mary Crowley Cancer Research

Lyudmila Bazhenova Moores Cancer Center,

University of California San Diego

Tanios Bekaii-Saab Mayo Clinic

David Berz Beverly Hills Cancer Center

Patrick Cobb Sisters of Charity of Leavenworth Health St. Mary's

Marcia Cruz-Correa Pan American Center for Oncology Trials

Muhammad Furqan University of Iowa **Yousuf Gaffar** Maryland Oncology Hematology

Navid Hafez Yale Cancer Center

David Hakimian Illinois Cancer Specialists

Rebecca S. Heist Massachusetts General Hospital

Pasi A Jänne Dana-Farber Cancer Institute

Melissa L. Johnson Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Tennessee Oncology

Han Koh Kaiser Permanente

Ticiana A. Leal University of Wisconsin Carbone Cancer Center

Konstantinos Leventakos Mayo Clinic Yanyan Lou Mayo Clinic

Suresh Nair Lehigh Valley Physician Group

Misako Nagasaka Karmanos Cancer Institute

Gregg Newman Ridley-Tree Cancer Center

Sai-Hong Ignatius Ou

University of California, Irvine, Chao Family Comprehensive Cancer Center

Jose M. Pacheco University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus

Kyriakos P. Papadopoulos START Center for Cancer Care

Muhammad Riaz University of Cincinnati Health Barrett Cancer Center **Donald Richards** Texas Oncology

Gregory J. Riely Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, Weill Cornell Medical College

Richard Rosenberg Arizona Oncology

Igor I. Rybkin Henry Ford Cancer Institute

Joshua Sabari

New York University Langone Health, New York University Perlmutter Cancer Center

Alexander I. Spira

Virginia Cancer Specialists, US Oncology Research

Jared Weiss

Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of North Carolina

Ralph Zinner University of Kentucky

References

- 1. Zehir A, Benayed R, Shah RH, et al. *Nat Med*. 2017;23(6):703-713.
- 2. Schirripa M, Nappo F, Cremolini C, et al. *Clin Colorectal Cancer*. 2020;S1533-0028(20)30067-0.
- 3. NIH TCGA: The Cancer Genome Atlas. February 11, 2021; https://www.cbioportal.org.
- 4. Modest DP, Brodowicz T, Stintzing S, et al. Oncology. 2012;83:241-247.
- 5. Bos JL, Rehmann H, Wittinghofer A. *Cell*. 2007;129:865-877.
- 6. Shukla S, Allam US, Ahsan A, et al. *Neoplasia*. 2014;16(2):115-128.
- 7. Hallin J, Engstrom LD, Hargis L, et al. *Cancer Discov*. 2020;10(1):54-71.
- 8. Tabernero J et al. Presented at ESMO 23rd World Congress on Gastrointestinal Cancer; June 30-July 3, 2021; virtual.
- 9. Johnson ML et al. Presented at 2020 AACR-NCI-EORTC International Conference on Molecular Targets and Cancer Therapeutics; October 25, 2020; virtual.

Abbreviations

5-FU, fluorouracil 1L. first line 2L, second line ALT. alanine aminotransferase AST, aspartate aminotransferase BID, twice daily CRC, colorectal cancer CDx, cell line derived xenograft CI, confidence interval DCR, disease control rate dMMR, deficient mismatch repair DOR, duration of response ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase FOLFIRI, folinic acid (leucovorin), fluorouracil, irinotecan IV. intravenous LV, leucovorin MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase MEK, mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer MSI-H, microsatellite instability high MTD, maximum tolerated dose mFOLFOX6, modified FOLFOX6 (folinic acid [leucovorin], fluorouracil, oxaliplatin)

NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer ORR, objective response rate OS, overall survival PD, progressive disease PDX, patient-derived xenograft PFS, progression-free survival PK, pharmacokinetics PR, partial response PROs, patient-reported outcomes PS, performance status QD, once daily QW, every week Q2W, every 2 weeks R, randomized RAF, rapidly accelerating sarcoma **RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors** RP2D, recommended Phase 2 dose SD, stable disease SHP2, Src homology phosphatase 2 STK11, serine/threonine kinase 11 TRAE, treatment-related adverse event uPR, unconfirmed partial response VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor VEGFR, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor